## Editorial

# Role of Physicians in Guiding Patients Away From Unproven Stem Cell Interventions

Kirstin R.W. Matthews, PhD<sup>1</sup>; Omar Kawam, BA<sup>2</sup>; Keri Sprung<sup>3</sup>; Emerson C. Perin, MD, PhD<sup>3</sup>; Zubin Master, PhD<sup>1,2,4</sup>

he intense marketing of unproven stem cell interventions (SCIs) has become an international health concern, and relying on government regulators to shut down unscrupulous clinics is no longer an option.¹ Physicians are typically excellent resources when patients have questions about various medical therapies. Because many SCIs have not been approved for use, specialists are unlikely to raise the topic with patients, and other physicians may not be fully prepared to discuss them. Our goal is to describe how individuals are targeted, suggest educational resources, and offer physicians ideas regarding effective counseling.

## **Understanding the Market for Unproven Stem Cell Interventions**

Stem cell interventions have been marketed internationally for many years,<sup>2</sup> and more than 2,700 SCI clinics currently operate in the United States.<sup>3,4</sup> Unproven SCIs are promoted as therapy for a specific medical condition or as a panacea for a broad range of conditions. Direct advertising targets patients who have serious illnesses or painful injuries that are refractory to conventional treatments.<sup>3,5</sup> Advertisements for treatments misinform patients by emphasizing the general promise of regenerative medicine and strongly imply that they are scientifically legitimate. Potential clients are targeted on websites, in seminars, and through social media, typically with testimonials from patients (actual or purported) and celebrities. The benefits of unproven SCIs are exaggerated, risks are downplayed, and the requirement for United States Food and Drug Administration approval is minimized or ignored.

Some SCI clinics offer autologous stem cells derived from fat or bone marrow, and others use allogeneic sources, including birth tissue.<sup>67</sup> Whereas practitioners may be chiropractors or naturopathic healers, most seem to be physicians who are practicing outside their scope of training.<sup>89</sup> Health risks for patients in such circumstances are inherent. Sepsis, blindness, infections, and tumor formation were among the 360 adverse events (some fatal) reported after the use of unproven SCIs.<sup>10</sup> Beyond the medical risks, out-of-pocket treatment costs can range from \$2,500 to more than \$50,000 per session, causing some patients to solicit crowdfunding donations.<sup>11,12</sup>

### **Providing Resources and Communicating with Patients**

Physicians have a crucial role in helping to inform and guide patients who are enticed to try unproven SCIs. Specialists have cited concerns about the scientific uncertainties of unproven SCIs, misleading marketing, and physical harms, as well as the monetary costs. Informational and relational approaches are both used when counseling patients. Many specialists are comfortable discussing unproven SCIs; however, about one-third, including junior practitioners, feel underprepared to give advice. Learning about the risks of unproven SCIs and where to refer patients are important, but even more benefit can be gained by improving communication skills and expressing appropriate empathy.

#### Citation:

Matthews KRW, Kawam O, Sprung K, Perin EC, Master Z. Role of physicians in guiding patients away from unproven stem cell interventions. Tex Heart Inst J 2022;49(2):e217768. doi: 10.14503/THIJ-21-7768

#### Corresponding author:

Zubin Master, PhD, Biomedical Ethics Research Program and Center for Regenerative Medicine, Mayo Clinic, 200 First St. SW, Rochester, MN 55905

#### E-mail:

Master.Zubin@ mayo.edu

© 2022 by the Texas Heart <sup>®</sup> Institute. Houston

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Baker Institute for Public Policy, Rice University, Houston, Texas

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Biomedical Ethics Research Program, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Texas Heart Institute, Houston, Texas

 $<sup>^4\</sup>mathrm{Center}$  for Regenerative Medicine, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota

Misinformation can cause patients to confuse the for-profit therapy offered by SCI clinics with legitimate clinical trials sponsored by hospitals and academic medical centers. Physicians are ethically and professionally bound to inform patients about evidence-based therapies,16 and they may refer patients to ClinicalTrials.gov for appropriate trials; however, several for-profit clinics have registered their SCIs, clouding the search for genuine clinical trials. 6,17 To aid patients, the International Society for Stem Cell Research (ISSCR) has created educational materials to dispel myths and reinforce facts. Two prevalent myths are that all autologous stem cells are safe, and that one type of stem cell can effectively treat multiple unrelated conditions. The ISSCR warns that testimonials for unproven SCIs can be misleading, and that genuine clinical trials typically do not require participants to pay.18

Physicians can help patients understand the validity of information from websites, blogs, social media platforms, and news articles.<sup>19</sup> Patients can also benefit from being referred to regenerative medicine consultation services,<sup>5,20</sup> to media-review organizations that scrutinize news stories and press releases,<sup>21</sup> and to scientific societies such as the ISSCR, all of which provide warnings about unproven SCIs.<sup>18,22,23</sup>

Patients may be reluctant to talk about unproven SCIs with their doctors. When a physician dismisses their interest, patients may be more inclined to visit unproven-SCI clinics where practitioners make them feel heard and entice them with false hopes. 14,24 Accordingly, physicians should practice being nonjudgmental 19; they should invite questions and carefully listen to clarify what patients know about SCIs and why they are interested. They should also avoid overstating their own concerns about the legitimacy of SCIs. 25 By providing clear, evidence-based, jargon-free recommendations, communicating compassionately, and affirming their continued care and concern, physicians can effectively educate patients about unproven SCIs.

# **Acknowledgments**

The authors thank the George and Mary Josephine Hamman Foundation for sponsoring the 2021 Baker Institute—Texas Heart Institute lecture series<sup>26</sup> and thank Daisy Jasso for transcribing the lecture notes.

Published: 8 March 2022

**Funding/support:** Funding for this project was provided by a grant from the George and Mary Josephine Hamman Foundation. Dr. Master and Mr. Kawam are supported by a grant from the National Institute on Aging, National Institutes of Health (R21AG068620). Dr. Master is supported by the Center for Regenerative Medicine at Mayo Clinic.

**Disclosures:** Drs. Matthews and Master are Lawrence Goldstein Science Policy Fellows for the International Society for Stem Cell Research (ISSCR) and ex officio members of the ISSCR's Public Policy Committee. Dr. Master serves on the ISSCR's Education Committee. Neither individual was paid by the ISSCR nor involved in developing ISSCR patient-education materials.

**Contributions:** Drs. Matthews and Master contributed equally to this report.

#### References

- Master Z, Matthews KRW, Abou-El-Enein M. Unproven stem cell interventions: a global public health problem requiring global deliberation. Stem Cell Reports 2021;16(6):1435-45.
- 2. Baker M. Stem cell therapy or snake oil? Nat Biotechnol 2005;23(12):1467-9.
- Turner L. The US direct-to-consumer marketplace for autologous stem cell interventions. Perspect Biol Med 2018;61(1):7-24.
- Turner L. The American stem cell sell in 2021: U.S. businesses selling unlicensed and unproven stem cell interventions. Cell Stem Cell 2021;28(11):1891-5.
- Smith C, Martin-Lillie C, Higano JD, Turner L, Phu S, Arthurs J, et al. Challenging misinformation and engaging patients: characterizing a regenerative medicine consult service. Regen Med 2020;15(3):1427-40.
- Turner L. ClinicalTrials.gov, stem cells and 'pay-toparticipate' clinical studies. Regen Med 2017;12(6):705-19.
- Chen C. The birth tissue profiteers [Internet]. Available from: https://www.propublica.org/article/amniotic-stemcell-treatment-transplant-therapy [2019 May 7; cited 2022 Feb 16].
- Fu W, Smith C, Turner L, Fojtik J, Pacyna JE, Master Z. Characteristics and scope of training of clinicians participating in the US direct-to-consumer marketplace for unproven stem cell interventions. JAMA 2019;321(24): 2463-4.
- Murdoch B, Zarzeczny A, Caulfield T. Exploiting science? A systematic analysis of complementary and alternative medicine clinic websites' marketing of stem cell therapies. BMJ Open 2018;8(2):e019414.
- Pew Research Center. Harms linked to unapproved stem cell interventions highlight need for greater FDA enforcement [Internet]. Available from: https://www.pewtrusts.org/en/ research-and-analysis/issue-briefs/2021/06/harms-linkedto-unapproved-stem-cell-interventions-highlight-need-forgreater-fda-enforcement [2021 Jun 1; cited 2022 Feb 16].
- Knoepfler P. How much does stem cell therapy cost in 2021? [Internet]. Available from: https://ipscell.com/how-much-does-stem-cell-therapy-cost-in-2021/#Stem-cells-often-cost-from-\$2,500-to-\$20,000 [cited 2022 Feb 16].
- Snyder J, Turner L, Crooks VA. Crowdfunding for unproven stem cell-based interventions. JAMA 2018;319(18):1935-6.
- Smith C, Crowley A, Munsie M, DeMartino ES, Staff NP, Shapiro S, Master Z. Academic physician specialists' views toward the unproven stem cell intervention industry: areas of common ground and divergence. Cytotherapy 2021;23(4):348-56.
- Smith C, Crowley A, Munsie M, Behfar A, DeMartino ES, Staff NP, et al. Academic physician specialists' approaches to counseling patients interested in unproven stem cell and regenerative therapies—a qualitative analysis. Mayo Clin Proc 2021;96(12):3086-96.

- Julian K, Yuhasz N, Rai W, Salerno JA, Imitola J. Complications from "stem cell tourism" in neurology. Ann Neurol 2020;88(4):661-8.
- ABIM Foundation. The physician charter [Internet].
   Available from: https://abimfoundation.org/what-we-do/physician-charter [2002; cited 2022 Feb 16].
- Wagner DE, Turner L, Panoskaltsis-Mortari A, Weiss DJ, Ikonomou L. Co-opting of Clinical Trials.gov by patientfunded studies. Lancet Respir Med 2018;6(8):579-81.
- International Society for Stem Cell Research. Nine things to know about stem cell treatments [Internet]. Available from: https://www.closerlookatstemcells.org/stem-cells-medicine/ nine-things-to-know-about-stem-cell-treatments/ [cited 2022 Feb 16].
- Southwell BG, Wood JL, Navar AM. Roles for health care professionals in addressing patient-held misinformation beyond fact correction. Am J Public Health 2020;110(S3):S288-9.
- Mayo Clinic Center for Regenerative Medicine. Regenerative medicine consult service [Internet]. Available from: https://www.mayo.edu/research/centers-programs/center-regenerative-medicine/patient-care/regenerative-medicine-consult-service [cited 2022 Feb 16].

- HealthNewsReview.org. Search results for "stem cells" [Internet]. Available from: https://healthnewsreview. org/?s=stem+cells [cited 2022 Feb 16].
- Stem Cells Australia. Dispelling myths [Internet]. Available from: https://stemcellsaustralia.edu.au/about/about-stemcells/dispelling-myths/ [cited 2022 Feb 16].
- Stem Cell Network. Warning: claims of stem cell treatments for COVID-19 unfounded and misleading [Internet].
   Available from: https://stemcellnetwork.ca/warning-claimsof-stem-cell-treatments-for-covid-19-unfounded-andmisleading/ [2020 Mar 31; cited 2022 Feb 16].
- 24. Petersen A, Seear K, Munsie M. Therapeutic journeys: the hopeful travails of stem cell tourists. Sociol Health Illn 2014;36(5):670-85.
- Eckert E. How to help patients who encounter misinformation [Internet]. Available from: https://warpwire. duke.edu/w/OQEFAA/ [2021 Jan 11; cited 2022 Feb 16].
- 26. Rice University's Baker Institute for Public Policy.
  Regenerative medicine: proven treatments, unproven treatments and the policies that promote both [Internet webinar]. Available from: https://www.bakerinstitute.org/events/2218/ [2021 May 20; cited 2022 Feb 16].