Transplant Roundup

Mohamed Saleh Ismail, MD, MSc Matthew Cusick, PhD, D(ABHI) Nhu Thao Nguyen Galvan, MD, MPH

Presented at the 3rd Annual O.H. "Bud" Frazier Transplant Roundup; Houston, 22 March 2018.

Section Editors:

John A. Goss, MD, FACS Jeffrey A. Morgan, MD

Key words: Directed tissue donation; health services needs and demand; histocompatibility testing; kidney transplantation/immunology/standards/statistics & numerical data; living donors/ supply & distribution; outcome assessment (health care); registries; time factors; tissue and organ procurement/methods

From: Division of Abdominal Transplantation (Drs. Cusick, Galvan, and Ismail), Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, Texas 77030; and Department of Internal Medicine, Gastroenterology & Hepatology (Dr. Ismail), Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt

Address for reprints:

Mohamed Ismail, MD, Baylor Clinic, 6620 S. Main, Suite 1450, Houston, TX 77030

E-mail:

Mohamed.ismail@bcm.edu

© 2019 by the Texas Heart® Institute, Houston

The Benefits of a Local Kidney Exchange

idney transplantation is definitive treatment with the best survival rates, quality of life, and cost-effectiveness for patients who have end-stage renal disease (ESRD) (stage 5 chronic kidney disease). However, because of a shortage of available donor organs, only 2.5% of ESRD patients undergo transplantation as their initial treatment.¹ In the United States, 19 patients die each day while awaiting a kidney²—the demand for kidney allografts far exceeds the number available from deceased donors. Appropriate referral timing for transplantation is between late stage 4 and early stage 5 chronic kidney disease (estimated glomerular filtration rate, ≤ 20 mL/min/1.73 m²).³

Live-donor kidney transplantation (LDKT) has become the predominant practice to overcome organ shortages and long waiting times for grafts.⁴ Moreover, LDKT is associated with higher patient and graft survival rates than those for deceased-donor transplantation.⁵ Current guidelines recommend LDKT for all patients with chronic kidney disease who are eligible for transplantation when a compatible living donor is available.⁶ The chief obstacles to LDKT are ABO blood group incompatibility and the presence of human leukocyte antigen (HLA) antibodies in donors; these factors disqualify 57% of otherwise appropriate pairs.⁷⁸

Kidney Paired Donation

Kidney paired donation (KPD) involves live-donor paired exchange in which transplant candidates who have no immediately qualified living donor can still receive a kidney. The KPD method, which links candidates with a broad group of living donors, can reveal more than one potential match.⁹

Although KPD was initially proposed in 1986,¹⁰ the first exchange in the U.S. was not performed until 2000.² In addition to having all the advantages of LDKT, KPD shortens the time that patients spend on dialysis while awaiting transplantation—the strongest independent modifiable risk factor in renal transplant outcomes.¹¹ Furthermore, KPD is less costly than debilitating long-term maintenance dialysis and desensitization therapies for ABO- and HLA-incompatible LDKT.¹²

In KPD, organ donations and transplants should be simultaneous, to guarantee recipients a graft and to eliminate the risk that donors might withdraw, and this is logistically challenging when multiple pairs are identified.¹³ In comparison with direct LDKTs, the match rate in type O blood group recipients is worse in KPD (22.6% vs 45.6%); conversely, the match rate is better in type B blood group recipients (31.2% vs 12.7%).¹⁴ The discrepancy in the type O group might resolve if national databases for matching were more expansive.¹⁵ Another limitation to national KPD exchange programs is the potential need for out-of-state travel by participants.¹⁴ Local KPD exchange programs shorten cold ischemia time by reducing participants' travel and enabling more efficient evaluation of donors. In Texas, local KPD exchange programs have reported steady increases in transplant volume because of increased awareness and the motivation to find live-donor kidneys for more recipients.^{16,17}

The generosity of altruistic, anonymous donors in KPD programs can increase transplant rates beyond those of direct donation.¹⁸ Moreover, optimized matching algorithms for selecting compatible donor–recipient pairs are crucial in maximizing the benefits of KPD programs.¹⁹

The participation of living donors in local KPD exchange programs is proving to be a valid means of overcoming organ shortages and lengthy waits, increasing accessibility to live-donor transplants, and enabling better donor-recipient matches.

References

- Abecassis M, Bartlett ST, Collins AJ, Davis CL, Delmonico FL, Friedewald JJ, et al. Kidney transplantation as primary therapy for end-stage renal disease: a National Kidney Foundation/Kidney Disease Outcomes Quality Initiative (NKF/ KDOQITM) conference. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2008;3(2): 471-80.
- Wallis CB, Samy KP, Roth AE, Rees MA. Kidney paired donation. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2011;26(7):2091-9.
- Ishani A, Ibrahim HN, Gilbertson D, Collins AJ. The impact of residual renal function on graft and patient survival rates in recipients of preemptive renal transplants. Am J Kidney Dis 2003;42(6):1275-82.
- Delmonico F, Dew M. Living donor kidney transplantation in a global environment. Kidney Int 2007;71(7):608-14.
- Nemati E, Einollahi B, Lesan Pezeshki M, Porfarziani V, Fattahi MR. Does kidney transplantation with deceased or living donor affect graft survival? Nephrourol Mon 2014;6(4). e12182.
- Dudley C, Harden P. Renal Association Clinical Practice Guideline on the assessment of the potential kidney transplant recipient. Nephron Clin Pract 2011;118 Suppl 1:c209-24.
- Maggiore U, Oberbauer R, Pascual J, Viklicky O, Dudley C, Budde K, et al. Strategies to increase the donor pool and access to kidney transplantation: an international perspective. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2015;30(2):217-22.
- Karpinski M, Knoll G, Cohn A, Yang R, Garg A, Storsley L. The impact of accepting living kidney donors with mild hypertension or proteinuria on transplantation rates. Am J Kidney Dis 2006;47(2):317-23.
- Delmonico FL. Exchanging kidneys--advances in livingdonor transplantation. N Engl J Med 2004;350(18):1812-4.
- Rapaport FT. The case for a living emotionally related international kidney donor exchange registry. Transplant Proc 1986;18(3) Suppl. 2:5-9.

- 11. Meier-Kriesche HU, Kaplan B. Waiting time on dialysis as the strongest modifiable risk factor for renal transplant outcomes: a paired donor kidney analysis. Transplantation 2002;74(10): 1377-81.
- 12. Kute VB, Patel HV, Shah PR, Modi PR, Shah VR, Rizvi SJ, et al. Impact of single centre kidney paired donation transplantation to increase donor pool in India: a cohort study. Transpl Int 2017;30(7):679-88.
- Saidman SL, Roth AE, Sonmez T, Unver MU, Delmonico FL. Increasing the opportunity of live kidney donation by matching for two- and three-way exchanges. Transplantation 2006;81(5):773-82.
- Segev DL, Kucirka LM, Gentry SE, Montgomery RA. Utilization and outcomes of kidney paired donation in the United States. Transplantation 2008;86(4):502-10.
- Segev DL, Gentry SE, Warren DS, Reeb B, Montgomery RA. Kidney paired donation and optimizing the use of live donor organs. JAMA 2005;293(15):1883-90.
- Flechner SM, Thomas AG, Ronin M, Veale JL, Leeser DB, Kapur S, et al. The first 9 years of kidney paired donation through the National Kidney Registry: characteristics of donors and recipients compared with National Live Donor Transplant Registries. Am J Transplant 2018;18(11):2730-8.
- 17. Hart A, Smith JM, Skeans MA, Gustafson SK, Wilk AR, Robinson A, et al. OPTN/SRTR annual data report: kidney. Am J Transplant 2018;18 Suppl 1:18-113.
- Roodnat JI, Zuidema W, van de Wetering J, de Klerk M, Erdman RA, Massey EK, et al. Altruistic donor triggered domino-paired kidney donation for unsuccessful couples from the kidney-exchange program. Am J Transplant 2010;10(4):821-7.
- Ferrari P, Fidler S, Wright J, Woodroffe C, Slater P, Van Althuis-Jones A, et al. Virtual crossmatch approach to maximize matching in paired kidney donation. Am J Transplant 2011; 11(2):272-8.