CORRESPONDENCE

Isolated Right Ventricular Myocardial Infarction in Patients with Coronary Artery Bypass Grafts

To the Editor:

I read with interest the article in the *Texas Heart Institute Journal* by Franco and colleagues[1] about isolated right ventricular myocardial infarction (RVMI). First, I want to thank the authors for reminding us that isolated RVMI can easily be misdiagnosed as acute anterior myocardial infarction (MI) and should be considered in the differential diagnosis.

In clinical practice, isolated RVMI is rare in comparison with anterior MI.[2] There are important differences in the approach to treating these types of MI, particularly in regard to vasodilator medication. Therefore, the differential diagnosis is important, especially in centers without the capability of performing primary percutaneous coronary intervention. ST-segment elevation in the precordial leads is characteristic of anterior MI caused by left anterior descending coronary artery occlusion. However, ST-segment elevation in leads V_1 through V_3 or V_4 has also been reported during isolated RVMI. The decrease in magnitude of the ST-segment elevation in the precordial leads V_1 through V_3 or V_4 and progressive regression of ST segments without Q-wave formation on electrocardiography suggests isolated RVMI rather than anterior MI.[3]

Franco and colleagues mentioned some causes of isolated RVMI. Another cause is proximal acute occlusion of a dominant right coronary artery (RCA) in a saphenous vein graft to the RCA.[4] In this circumstance, the inferior part of the left ventricle is preserved by the saphenous vein graft and does not become ischemic. If the right ventricular branch of the RCA does not receive enough retrograde blood supply from the graft, occlusion of the proximal dominant RCA can induce isolated RVMI. Therefore, isolated RVMI should be considered in patients who have histories of coronary artery bypass grafting and ST-segment elevation in leads V_1 through V_3 or V_4 on electrocardiography.

Mustafa Cetin, MD,
Department of Cardiology,
Ankara Numune Education and Research Hospital,
Ankara, Turkey

References

1. Franco JJ, Brown M, Bashir R, O'Murchu B. Acute anterior ST-elevation myocardial infarction and electrical storm secondary to nondominant right coronary artery occlusion. Tex Heart Inst J 2014;41(3):335-7.
2. Kinch JW, Ryan TJ. Right ventricular infarction. N Engl J Med 1994;330(17):1211-7.
3. Chou TC, Van der Bel-Kahn J, Allen J, Brockmeier L, Fowler NO. Electrocardiographic diagnosis of right ventricular infarction. Am J Med 1981;70(6):1175-80.
4. Cetin M, Ucar O, Canbay A, Cicekcioglu H, Diker E. Isolated right ventricular myocardial infarction: mimicking anterior myocardial infarction in a patient with coronary artery bypass grafts. Tex Heart Inst J 2011;38(5):598-9.

http://dx.doi.org/10.14503/THIJ-14-4577

Focusing on Cor Triatriatum Dexter and Atrial Septal Defects

To the Editor:

We read with interest the article in the *Texas Heart Institute Journal* by Vukovic and colleagues,[1] and we have a few comments that we think are of note.

Cor triatriatum dexter (CTD) and prominent eustachian valve are thought to result from the incomplete and abnormal regression of the embryonic right valve of the sinus venosus, caused by abnormal fetal circulation. Whereas the left leaflet of the sinus venosus is incorporated into the interatrial septum and forms part of the septum secundum, the right leaflet is reabsorbed, forming the eustachian and thebesian valves.

A prominent Chiari network and eustachian or thebesian valve might simulate CTD and produce insignificant flow accelerations. However, in CTD (as in the case report[1]), the valve is attached to the atrial septum. In the case of a prominent or giant eustachian valve, there are no attachments, irrespective of obstruction.[2] In addition, CTD is frequently associated with right-sided abnormalities such as atrial septal defect (ASD). For this reason, although successful percutaneous ASD closure has been reported in patients who have prominent eustachian valves,[3] extra care should be exercised in patients with CTD: septal attachments on the atrial septum might lead to entanglement, misplacement, or leftward bowing because of a high right atrial pressure and embolization of the device. In addition, proper deployment and device stability might be prevented by inadequate definition of the ASD margins, overlap of the valve remnant, and under- or oversizing of the device.[4]

As stated by the authors,[1] the patient's symptoms depend primarily on the degree of right atrial septation and the size of the sinoatrial orifice. However, a large, prominent eustachian valve can sometimes obstruct the inferior vena cava and lead to trapped catheters, supraventricular arrhythmias, bacterial endocarditis, and thrombosis with subsequent pulmonary or systemic embolism. For this reason, if the patient will be undergoing heart surgery for other reasons, the membrane or fibrous band should be removed, even if it is not obstructive.